



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,808	02/04/2002	Johannes Lechner	U 013863-3	4773
75	90 02/23/2004		EXAMINER	
Ladas & Parry			BUI, HUNG S	
26 West 61st St	- • • •			D. D
New York, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
		DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/066,808	LECHNER, JOHANNES			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hung S Bui	2841			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N	<u>ovember 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,6-13,16-24 and 28-40 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,14,15 and 25-27</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		A) []	(DTO 442)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔛 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔯 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/04/02</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/066,808 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction in Paper filed on 11/19/2003 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the amendment to claim 25 makes claim 25 consistent with claim 1. This is found persuasive. Furthermore, Applicant's election of the species shown in figures 2-4 and 14-15 is acknowledged. Claims 3, 6-13, 16-24 and 28-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected restriction species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper filed on 11/19/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 14-15 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buscella [US 6,268,561] in view of Aflenzer et al. [US 6,285,561].

Regarding claims 25-27, Buscella discloses an electrically conductive track unit (1) comprising at least plastic embedded electrical component and conductive track foil (14) accommodated by a plastic embedded stiffening element (12) and a housing (4).

Buscella discloses the instant claimed invention except for the housing being formed by injection molded plastic material and around the conductive track and stiffening element.

Aflenzer et al. disclose an electronic component (6) mounted on a stiffening element (4, figure 1) accommodated by an injection molded material (column 3, lines 15-20).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use the injection molding process of Aflenzer et al. for the housing of Buscella, for the purpose of providing strengthening.

Regarding claims 1-2 and 4-5, the claimed method steps would have been necessitated by the product structure.

Regarding claims 14-15, The specific material used for the stiffening element would have been an obvious design consideration based on the operating loading and application of the device.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung S Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-2102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,808 Page 4

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HB 2/6/04

> PANDY W. GIBSON PRIMARY EXAMINER